## FWP UPLAND BIRD HABITAT ENHANCEMENT PROJECTS

## PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

This evaluation is to be used to determine the potential and need of projects to serve as projects under FWP's upland bird habitat enhancement program. Points allowed for each category follow each description.

APPLICANT:		
Name		
Address:		
Telephone: ()		
1 elephone. (		<del></del>
PROPOSED PROJE	CT DESCRIP	TION:
T. C		
Type of project:		FWP Region
County:		FWP Region
Legal Description:	T, R	R,Section(s)
	T, R	R,Section(s)
	T, R	,Section(s)
2. Is this within a Re		area? No (1) Yes (5) cumulative points)?
		uns = 1; Turkey = 1; Mountain Grouse = 3; Mourning Doves = 2)
* *		tat components within or immediately adjacent to the ne primary upland bird species the project will affect:
a. Winter Cov	ver:	acres within the project (<1%=0; 2-5%=2; 5-10%=5)
		acres within 1 mile (~10% <1/4 mile=5; ~10% >1/4=2)
b. Nesting co		acres within the project (≥ 300 =0; 100-300=3; ≤100=5)
		acres within 1 mile $(\ge 300 = 0; 100-300=3; \le 100=5)$
c. Food source	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	acres within ¼ mile of the project
		$(\geq 5\% \text{ proj}=5; 3-5\%=3; 1-3\%=1; <1\%=0)$
d. Sagebrush		% of project area.
		(>50% proj=5; 25-50%=3; 10-25%=1; <10%=0)
5. Status of lands wit	thin 2 miles as	unland hird habitat
Excellent	ann 2 miies as	(5)
LACCHEIR		(J)

G	ood		(4)	
	air		(3)	
Po	oor		(1)	
	Does the prope	nds within 2 mile for uplaterty allow free access for ly commission approved speci	<u>e</u>	
pι			erty what % of the land is open to (<25%=0; 26-50%=2; 51-75%=3; 76-9	
	$(\ge 90\% = 5; 5)$	of the cooperators land is 0-90%=2; <50%=1)	open to public hunting?	
a)	,	-	have similar nesting projects with isting nesting cover within 2 mile (5)	
		res involved	(<200= 5; 200-500=3; >500=0)	
		ect consists of isolated tra-	,	
N	lo. of tracts	Score each tract bas	sed on the above criteria: (Tracts	
should no	ot exceed 320 acr	res in size or be within 1/2	2 mile of another tract.)	
			Total score	
b)	Food plot: Doe	s FWP have similar proje	ects within 1 mile of the proposed	
pr	oject or are othe	r domestic food sources g	grown within 1 mile?	
	No		(5)	
		es within 1 mile	· ·	
c)		<b>.</b>	jects or is there natural, similar co	ver
	within 1 m	1?	( <del>-</del> )	
	No	,	(5)	
•		es (<80 = 5, 80-160 = 3		
			imilar projects within 2 miles of t	he
pı	roposed project s	ite?	(0)	
	1)No		(0)	
	Yes	•	(1)	
	2) Size of p	project	(<640ac = 1, 640-1280=3, >1280=5)	
Scoring S	Summarv:			
_	(5)			
	( )			
3.	(12)			
		c d Tot	tal (30)	
	(5)			
	、 /	_ c Total(20	)	
		d Tot		

Project Total \_\_\_\_\_

Because of limited funds preference will be given to projects with greater than the					
minimum contract length and projects with larger applicant cost share.  Contract length Estimated cost					
% FWP	% Applicant (include actual and i	n-kind services)			
	eration for project approval: aual hunter days: participa	tes in Block Management; Yes No.			
-	nique components that are a considera any reason FWP should not enter int	1 0			
APPROVE	ED:				
Regio	onal Wildlife Manager	Date			
Regio	nal Supervisor	Date			